



UNIVERSITY
OF TAMPERE

Bram De Smet
Doctoral Student
Tampere Peace Research Institute
Doctoral programme in Social Sciences
Peace and Conflict Research

Bram.de.smet@uta.fi
Linna Building 6068

**Request for Evaluation
by the
Ethics Committee of the Tampere Region**

more visible as they tend to work in the public spotlight (literally).

LGTBQI & activists

A very important group of people I want to interview are members of LGTBQI communities in the oPt, and the activist groups dealing with honour killings. Within the LGTBQI groups, I am thinking about doing a double or triple interview in an unstructured way. I feel (for now) that this will give me the richest data from this group of interviewees. Whereas the majority of my other interviewees will most probably not be possible victims of honour, this group most definitely is. Interviews with activists may also take place in similar fashion, as some of the people (most probably all women) I will try to interview will have lived on the receiving end of patriarchal honour.

Random people

I also plan to do shorter interviews with people I meet, and Palestinian friends. Below is a list of initial thoughts on what I want to get out of the interviews.

Aims

Covering honour:

Is the description of the concept of honour the same for all the interviewees?

Are there differences for people in Muslim communities versus Christian communities?

What are the different views on honour in rural versus urban settings, between income classes, in hot spots (refugee camps)?

How do interviewees experience the effects of honour in their daily lives?

How important is honour in Palestinian society according to the interviewees?

How do interviewees think a person or family can be shamed? Examples would be great.

Covering gender & performances:

Let interviewees, in their own words, describe what it is to be a man/woman within

UNIVERSITY OF TAMPERE
THE ACADEMIC ETHICS COMMITTEE FOR HUMANITIES
OF THE TAMPERE REGION

Statement 23/2018 on the request for statement 14/2018: "Statement request to the committee (no name) (Ph.D. student Bram de Smet, peace and research conflict studies, the faculty of humanities and social sciences)

The committee's statement: The Ethics Committee in the Tampere region has studied the research plan in its meeting held on 23 April 2018. The committee requests you to give the following clarifications:

- More specific recital concerning the time of beginning of the study information
- What are the grounds for your statement request, why are you asking the statement concerning the study; please look at the link when you are entitled to request for the statement:
<http://www.uta.fi/index.php/en/research/tutkimuksen-etiikka/does-my-study-require-ethical-review>
- The committee would also need a specific information concerning the ethical review: is there a possibility that the objects of the study (the persons who are interviewed) may get into a trouble or does/or may an interview by the researcher cause mental harm to the persons?
- Do you need research permissions (because the committees statement is only a statement, not research permission)? where do you get the permissions?
- In your statement request it is mentioned another university too. What is its role in this study?

Tampere, April 23rd, 2018

Chairman

p.p.
Kirsti Uusi-Rasi

Secretary


Heikki Eilo